

BILLINGSLEY'S THEOREMS ON EMPIRICAL PROCESSES OF STRONG MIXING SEQUENCES

By

KEN-ICHI YOSHIHARA

(Received December 27, 1973)

0. Summary. Let $\{x_j, -\infty < j < \infty\}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of random variables satisfying some mixing condition with mixing coefficient $\phi(n)$ or $\alpha(n)$. Let $F_n(t)$ be the empirical distribution function of x_1, \dots, x_n and $Y_n(\omega) = n^{1/2}(F_n(t, \omega) - F(t))$. In [1], Billingsley proved the weak convergence theorem on $\{Y_n\}$ under the condition $\sum n^2 \phi^{1/2}(n) < \infty$. (cf. Theorem 22.1 in [1]). Recently, in [5], Sen proved the result under the condition $\sum n \phi^{1/2}(n) < \infty$ and in [6] Yokoyama proved it under the condition $\sum n \alpha^\beta(n) < \infty (0 < \beta < 1/2)$. In this note, we shall show that Billingsley's theorem remains true under a less restrictive condition $\alpha(n) = O(n^{-\delta-\epsilon}) (\delta > 0)$. A theorem corresponding to Theorem 22.2 in [1] is also proved (Section 4).

1. The main result. Let $\{x_j, -\infty < j < \infty\}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of random variables defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, P)$. Suppose that the process satisfies one of the following conditions:

for all $B \in \mathfrak{M}_{k+n}^\infty$ with probability one

$$(1) \quad |P(B|\mathfrak{M}_{-\infty}^k) - P(B)| \leq \phi(n) \downarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

(the ϕ -mixing condition) and

$$(2) \quad \sup |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| \leq \alpha(n) \downarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

(the strong mixing (s.m.) condition). Here the supremum is taken over all $A \in \mathfrak{M}_{-\infty}^k$ and $B \in \mathfrak{M}_{k+n}^\infty$, \mathfrak{M}_a^b denotes the σ -algebra generated by events of the form

$$\{(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \in E\}$$

where $a \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq b$ and E is a k -dimensional Borel set. The difference between the s.m. and ϕ -mixing conditions is explained in [4].

Let

$$(3) \quad c(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } u < 0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that x_i has a continuous distribution function $F(u)$. Put $x_i^* = F(x_i)$ for any i and define the empirical distribution function by

$$(4) \quad F_n(t) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c(t - x_i^*), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

In [1], Billingsley proved that if $\{x_i\}$ is a strictly stationary ϕ -mixing sequence of random variables, then the sequence $\{Y_n\}$ of random elements in $D[0, 1]$ defined by

$$(5) \quad Y_n(t) = n^{1/2} \{F_n(t) - t\}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

converges weakly to a Gaussian random function under the condition $\sum n^2 \phi^{1/2}(n) < \infty$ (cf. Theorem 22.1 in [1]). In [5], Sen proved the same result under the condition $\sum n \phi^{1/2}(n) < \infty$. On the other hand, in [6], Yokoyama showed that the theorem holds under the condition $\sum n \alpha^\beta(n) < \infty$ ($0 < \beta < 1/2$), which is extensions of Billingsley's and Deo's results. The following theorem is a generalization of the results which are obtained by Billingsley, Sen, Deo and Yokoyama, respectively.

We use the same notations and definitions in [1]. Let

$$(6) \quad g_i(x_i^*) = c(t - x_i^*) - t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad i \geq 0.$$

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $\{x_j\}$ is a strictly stationary s.m. sequence of random variables with mixing coefficient $\alpha(n)$ and suppose x_0 has a continuous distribution function F on $[0, 1]$. If $\alpha(n) = O(n^{-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$, then*

$$(7) \quad Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$$

where Y_n is defined by (5) and Y is the Gaussian random function specified by

$$(8) \quad EY(t) = 0$$

and

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} EY(s)Y(t) &= Eg_0(x_0^*)g_0(x_0^*) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Eg_k(x_k^*)g_k(x_k^*) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Eg_k(x_k^*)g_k(x_0^*). \end{aligned}$$

These series converge absolutely and $P(Y \in C) = 1$. (cf. Theorem 22.1 in [1], Theorem 3.1 in [5], Theorem in [7].)

2. A lemma. In this section, we assume that $\{z_i\}$ is a strictly stationary sequence of Bernoullian variables, centered at expectation, satisfying the s.m.

condition with mixing coefficient $\alpha(n)$. Put $Ez_1^2 = \tau$. Then $E|z_1| = 2\tau$.

We shall use the following

Lemma (Davydov). *Let the process $\{x_n\}$ satisfy the s.m. condition, and let the random variables ξ and η , respectively, be measurable with respect to \mathfrak{M}_{k+n}^k and $\mathfrak{M}_{k+n}^\infty$; moreover, assume that $E|\xi|^p < \infty$ for $p > 1$ and $|\eta| < C$ a.s. Then*

$$|E\xi\eta - E\xi E\eta| \leq 6C\{E|\xi|^p\}^{1/p}\{\alpha(n)\}^{1-1/p}.$$

(cf. Lemma 2.1 in [3]).

In what follows, by the letter K , we shall denote any positive quantity (not always the same) which is bounded and does not depend on n .

Lemma. *If $\alpha(j) = O(j^{-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$, then*

$$(10) \quad ES_n^4 \leq K(n^2\tau^{4/3} + \tau^{\delta/(3+\delta)}n \log n),$$

where $S_n = z_1 + \dots + z_n$.

Proof. We follow the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [5], (cf. [7]). We denote by Σ_n the summation over all $i, j, k \geq 0$ for which $i+j+k \leq n$, and let $\Sigma_n^{(1)}$, $\Sigma_n^{(2)}$ and $\Sigma_n^{(3)}$ be, respectively, the components of Σ_n for which $i \geq (j, k)$, $j \geq (i, k)$ and $k \geq (i, j)$. Then, we have

$$(11) \quad ES_n^4 \leq 24n\{\Sigma_n^{(1)} + \Sigma_n^{(2)} + \Sigma_n^{(3)}\}|Ez_0z_iz_{i+j}z_{i+j+k}|.$$

Since $\alpha(j) = O(j^{-\delta})$,

$$(12) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n (j+1)^2\{\alpha(j)\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \leq K \log n.$$

Hence, from (12), the assumption $P(|z_i| > 1) = 0$ and Davydov's lemma, we have the following inequalities:

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Sigma_n^{(1)}|Ez_0z_iz_{i+j}z_{i+j+k}| \\ & \leq 6 \Sigma_n^{(1)}\{\alpha(i)\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)}\{E|z_0|^{(3+\delta)/\delta}\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \\ & \leq 6\{E|z_0|\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \Sigma_n^{(1)}\{\alpha(i)\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \\ & \leq K\tau^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \sum_{i=1}^n (i+1)^2\{\alpha(i)\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \leq K\tau^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \log n; \end{aligned}$$

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Sigma_n^{(2)}|Ez_0z_iz_{i+j}z_{i+j+k}| \\ & \leq \Sigma_n^{(2)}|Ez_0z_i||Ez_0z_k| + 6 \Sigma_n^{(2)}\{\alpha(j)\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)}\{E|z_0z_i|^{(3+\delta)/\delta}\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \\ & \leq 36 \Sigma_n^{(2)}\{\alpha(i)\}^{1/3}\{E|z_0|^{3/2}\}^{2/3}\{\alpha(k)\}^{1/3}\{E|z_0|^{3/2}\}^{2/3} \\ & \quad + 6 \Sigma_n^{(2)}\{\alpha(j)\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)}\{E|z_0|\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \\ & \leq K\tau^{4/3} \Sigma_n^{(2)}\{\alpha(i)\}^{1/3}\{\alpha(k)\}^{1/3} + K\tau^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \Sigma_n^{(2)}\{\alpha(j)\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \\ & \leq Kn\tau^{4/3} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \{\alpha(i)\}^{1/3} \right]^2 + K\tau^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \sum_{j=1}^n (j+1)^2\{\alpha(j)\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq K(n\tau^{4/3} + \tau^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \log n); \\
(15) \quad &\sum_n^{(3)} |E z_0 z_i z_{i+j} z_{i+j+k}| \\
&\leq 6 \sum_n^{(3)} \{\alpha(k)\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \{E|z_0|^{(3+\delta)/\delta}\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \\
&\leq K\tau^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \sum_{k=1}^n (k+1)^2 \{\alpha(k)\}^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \leq K\tau^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \log n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (10) follows from (11), (13), (14) and (15), and the proof is completed.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$z_i = g_i(x_i^*) - g_i(x_i^*) \quad (0 \leq s < t \leq 1).$$

Then, the sequence $\{z_i\}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma and

$$E z_i^2 = (t-s)(1-t+s) \leq t-s.$$

Moreover,

$$Y_n(t) - Y_n(s) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i.$$

Thus, if $\varepsilon(0 < \varepsilon < 1)$ is a fixed number such that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{n} \leq t-s,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E|Y_n(t) - Y_n(s)|^4 &\leq K \left\{ (t-s)^{4/3} + \frac{\log n}{n} (t-s)^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \right\} \\
&\leq K \{ (t-s)^{4/3} + n^{-(1-2\delta/3(3+\delta))} (t-s)^{\delta/(3+\delta)} \} \\
&\leq K \{ (t-s)^{4/3} + \varepsilon^{-(1-2\delta/3(3+\delta))} (t-s)^{1+\delta/3(3+\delta)} \} \\
&\leq K_0 (t-s)^{1+\delta/3(3+\delta)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all n sufficiently large. Hence, the method of the proof of Theorem 22.1 in [1] can be completely carried over to this case and the proof is obtained.

4. Functions of strong mixing processes. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of random variables satisfying the s.m. condition. Let f be a measurable mapping from the space of doubly infinite sequences $(\dots, \alpha_{-1}, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots)$ of real numbers into the real line. Define random variables

$$(16) \quad \eta_n = f(\dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots), \quad n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

where x_n occupies the 0-th place in the argument of f .

Suppose now that

$$(17) \quad 0 \leq \eta_n(\omega) \leq 1$$

and let $F_n(t, \omega)$ be the empirical distribution function of $\eta_1(\omega), \dots, \eta_n(\omega)$ and define Z_n by

$$(18) \quad Z_n(t, \omega) = n^{1/2}(F_n(t, \omega) - F(t))$$

where F is the distribution function for η_0 . Let f_k be a measurable mapping from R^{2k+1} into R^1 . Moreover, let

$$(19) \quad \eta_{kn} = f_k(x_{n-k}, \dots, x_n, \dots, x_{n+k})$$

for which

$$0 \leq \eta_{kn}(\omega) \leq 1.$$

Finally, we shall suppose that there exist sets H_k in $[0, 1]$ with the following properties;

(i) If $t \in H_k$, then

$$I_{[0, t]}(\eta_0) = I_{[0, t]}(\eta_{k, 0})$$

with probability one, where $I_E(\cdot)$ is the indicator of the set E .

(ii) If $J_k = \{F(t) : t \in H_k\}$, then J_k is a ρ_k -net in $[0, 1]$,

where ρ_k goes to zero exponentially.

(iii) We have $H_k \subset H_{k+1}$.

Define g_t by (6) as before.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is a strictly stationary s.m. sequence with mixing coefficient $\alpha(n)$, that η_0 has a continuous distribution function F on $[0, 1]$, and that there exist sets H_k with the three properties just described. If $\alpha(n) = O(n^{-3-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$, then*

$$Z_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Z$$

where Z is the Gaussian random function specified by

$$EZ(t) = 0$$

and

$$EZ(s)Z(t) = Eg_s(\eta_0)g_t(\eta_0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Eg_s(\eta_0)g_t(\eta_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Eg_s(\eta_k)g_t(\eta_0).$$

The series converge absolutely and $P(Z \in C) = 1$. (cf. Theorem 22.2 in [1]).

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 22.2 in [1], we can show that it suffices

to consider the case in which η_0 is uniformly distributed. So, we assume that η_0 is uniformly distributed. If s and t both lie in H_k , then the process

$$g_t(\eta_n) - g_s(\eta_n) = g_t(\eta_{kn}) - g_s(\eta_{kn}), \quad n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

is strong mixing with mixing coefficient $\alpha^{(k)}(n)$ where

$$\alpha^{(k)}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \leq 2k \\ \alpha(n-2k) & \text{if } n > 2k. \end{cases}$$

Let n be arbitrarily fixed. Since η_0 is uniformly distributed and since

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \{\alpha^{(k)}(j)\}^{1/3} \leq Kk$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^n (j+1)^2 \{\alpha^{(k)}(j)\}^{3/(3+\delta)} \leq Kk^3 \log n,$$

so by the analogous method of the proof of Lemma we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} E \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i(\eta_i) - g_s(\eta_i)) \right|^4 \\ \leq Kk^3 (n^2 |t-s|^{4/3} + |t-s|^{3/(3+\delta)} n \log n) \end{aligned}$$

where K depends on α alone. Therefore

$$s, t \in H_k, \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \leq t-s \quad (0 < \varepsilon < 1)$$

imply

$$P(|Z_n(t) - Z_n(s)| \geq \lambda) \leq K_1 \frac{k^3}{\lambda^4} (t-s)^{1+\beta}$$

for some $\beta > 0$ where K_1 depends only on α and ε . The rest of the proof is identical to that of Theorem 22.2 in [1] and so is omitted.

REFERENCES

- [1] Billingsley, P., *Convergence of probability measures*, Wiley, New York (1968).
- [2] Davydov, Yu. A., *Convergence of distributions generated by stationary stochastic processes*, Theory Probab. Appli. 12 (1968) 691-696.
- [3] Deo, C. M., *Empirical processes of strong-mixing stationary sequences (abstract)*. The Institute of Mathematical Statistics Bulletin 1 (1972) 251.
- [4] Ibragimov, I. A. and Yu. V. Linnik, *Independent and stationary sequences of random variables*, Woltes-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen (1971).
- [5] Sen, P. K., *A note on weak convergence of empirical processes for sequences of ϕ -*

- mixing random variables*, Ann. Math. Statist. 42 (1971) 2131-2133.
- [6] Yokoyama, R., *Weak convergence of empirical processes for strong mixing sequences of random variables* (To appear).
- [7] Yoshihara, K., *Extensions of Billingsley's theorems on weak convergence of empirical processes* (To appear).

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Engineering,
Yokohama National University,
Tokiwadai, Hodogaya-ku,
Yokohama 240 Japan.