

PERTURBATIONS OF THE MONOTONE SHIFT

By

I. ISTRĂTESCU

(Received July 1, 1971)

1. Let H be a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_0^\infty$. If the operator S is defined on H by $Se_i = \alpha_i e_{i+1}$ for $i=0, 1, \dots$ where $|\alpha_i| \leq |\alpha_{i+1}| \leq M$ for $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$ then S will be called a monotone shift. For the case $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}$, $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$ the lattice of invariant subspaces of the shift operator S on $l^2(0, \infty)$ has been characterized by *Beurling* [1] and in [2] *J. Freeman* shows that for a large class of compact operators, the perturbed shift $S+C$ is similar to the unperturbed shift S . A characterization of the operators which are similar to the weighted shift (i.e., not necessarily monotone) using the geometry of invariant subspaces appear in [5].

In general, if one perturbs an arbitrary operator by a compact operator this may markedly change the fine structure of the spectrum. Using the results of *Friedrichs* [3], [4] and *Freeman* [2] we prove that every monotone shift S with $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ is similar to $S+P$ where P is a compact operator with strictly lower-triangular matrix.

2. Let \mathcal{A} the algebra of operators on $l^p(0, \infty)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) with the matrix $A = (a_{nm})_{n,m=0}^\infty$ which satisfies the conditions:

$$\sup_m \sum_{n=0}^\infty |a_{nm}| = a < \infty, \quad \sup_n \sum_{m=0}^\infty |a_{nm}| = b < \infty,$$

By a theorem of *M. Riesz* the operators A are bounded on $l^p(0, \infty)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) and

$$\|A\|_p \leq \max \{\|A\|_1, \|A\|_\infty\},$$

where $\|A\|_1 = a$ and $\|A\|_\infty = b$.

It is easy to see that \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra with the norm

$$\|A\| = \max \{\|A\|_1, \|A\|_\infty\},$$

and that the class \mathcal{L} of matrices P such

$$|P| = \sum_{n,m=0}^\infty |p_{nm}| < \infty,$$

is an ideal in \mathcal{A} and $|APB| \leq \|A\| \|P\| \|B\|$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, $P \in \mathcal{L}$. By \mathcal{A}_0 we denote the subalgebra of the Banach algebra \mathcal{A} consisting of these matrices $A \in \mathcal{A}$ which are lower-triangular, i.e., $a_{mn} = 0$ unless $n \geq m$ and \mathcal{L}_0 is the subspace of \mathcal{L} consisting of such $P \in \mathcal{L}$ with $p_{mn} = 0$ unless $n > m$ (strictly lower-triangular).

Let S_1 the weighted shift defined by $S_1 e_i = \frac{1}{\alpha_i} e_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The matrices representing S_1 and S_1^* are

$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1/\alpha_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1/\alpha_1 & 0 & \dots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } S_1^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/\alpha_0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\alpha_1 & \dots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \end{pmatrix},$$

and we have that $S_1 S_1^* = E$ and $S_1^* S_1 = I$ where $E = \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, \dots)$.

The existence of an invertible operator X such that S is similar to its perturbations with $P \in \mathcal{L}_0$ will be obtained using the formal analogy between the equation $\Delta X = SX - XS = XP$ and the classical differential equations [2], [3], [4].

If we define an operator γ on \mathcal{L}_0 by

$$(1) \quad \gamma(P) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_1^{*k} P S_1^k,$$

then $\gamma(P)$ is a strictly lower-triangular matrix and

$$(2) \quad \|\gamma(P)\| \leq |P|.$$

Indeed, since

$$S_1^{*k} P S_1^k = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \dots \frac{1}{\alpha_{k-1}} \alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k-1} p_{kk} & \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \dots \frac{1}{\alpha_{k-1}} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k p_{k,k+1} \dots \\ \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \dots \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k-1} p_{k+1,k} & \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \dots \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k p_{k+1,k+1} \dots \\ \dots & \dots \\ \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \dots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+k-1}} \alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k-1} p_{k+i,k} & \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \dots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+k-1}} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k p_{k+i,k+1} \dots \\ \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix},$$

and if we consider

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \dots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+k-1}} \alpha_j \dots \alpha_{j+k-1} p_{k+i,k+j},$$

we have

$$\sup_j \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \dots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+k-1}} \alpha_j \dots \alpha_{j+k-1} p_{k+i,k+j} \right| \leq \sup_j \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_i} \right| \left| \frac{\alpha_{j+1}}{\alpha_{i+1}} \right| \dots \left| \frac{\alpha_{j+k-1}}{\alpha_{i+k-1}} \right|$$

$$\times |p_{k+i, k+j}| \leq \sup_j \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |p_{k+i, k+j}| \leq |P| ,$$

and similarly

$$\sup_i \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+k-1}} \alpha_j \cdots \alpha_{j+k-1} p_{k+i, k+j} \right| \leq |P| .$$

Then, if we denote by

$$[\gamma(P)]_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+k-1}} \alpha_j \cdots \alpha_{j+k-1} p_{k+i, k+j} ,$$

we obtain that $\|\gamma(P)\|_1 \leq |P|$ and $\|\gamma(P)\|_{\infty} \leq |P|$. Therefore $\|\gamma(P)\| \leq |P|$.

Now if

$$\gamma_n(P) = \sum_{k=0}^n S_1^{*k} P S_1^k ,$$

is the sequence of partial sums of series (1) we have

$$\gamma(P) - \gamma_{n-1}(P) = \gamma(S_1^{*n} P S_1^n) ,$$

and hence

$$\|\gamma(P) - \gamma_{n-1}(P)\| = \|\gamma(S_1^{*n} P S_1^n)\| \leq |S_1^{*n} P S_1^n| \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} |p_{ij}| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Let the operator

$$\gamma_P: Q \rightarrow \gamma(Q)P .$$

If $Q, P \in \mathcal{L}_0$, we have that

$$|\gamma(Q)P| \leq \|\gamma(Q)\| |P| \leq |Q| |P| .$$

For the iterates of γ_P we give

Lemma 2.1. *If $P, Q \in \mathcal{L}_0$ then $\gamma_P^n(Q) \in \mathcal{L}_0$ and*

$$\|\gamma_P^n(Q)\| \leq \frac{|P|^n}{n!} |Q| .$$

Proof. It is clear that $\gamma_P(Q) \in \mathcal{L}_0$ and that

$$[\gamma_P(Q)]_{ij} = \sum_{j < m_1 < i} \sum_{s_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{s_1}} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha_{s_1+i-1}} \alpha_{s_1} \cdots \alpha_{s_1+j-1} q_{i+s_1+1, m_1+s_1} p_{m_1, j}$$

and for its iterates we have

$$[\gamma_P^k(Q)]_{ij} = \sum_{j < m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_k < i} \sum_{0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_k < \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{s_1}} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha_{s_1+s_1-1}} \alpha_{m_1} \cdots \alpha_{m_1+s_1-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+s_1}} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+s_{2-1}}} \alpha_{m_2+s_1} \cdots \alpha_{m_2+s_{2-1}} \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+s_2}} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+s_{3-1}}} \alpha_{m_3+s_2} \cdots \\
& \cdots \alpha_{m_{k-1}+s_{k-1}-1} \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+s_{k-1}}} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+s_{k-1}}} \alpha_{m_k+s_{k-1}} \cdots \alpha_{m_k+s_{k-1}} \\
& \times q_{i+s_k, m_k+s_k} p_{m_k+s_{k-1}, m_{k-1}+s_{k-1}} \cdots p_{m_2+s_1, m_1+s_1} p_{m_1, j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
[\gamma_P^{k+1}(Q)]_{ij} &= \sum_{m=j+1}^{i-1} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+s-1}} \alpha_m \cdots \alpha_{m+s-1} [\gamma_P^k(Q)]_{i+s, m+s} p_{mj} \\
&= \sum_{m=j+1}^{i-1} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m+s < m_1 < \cdots < m_k < i+s} \sum_{0 \leq s_1 \leq \cdots \leq s_k \leq \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+s-1}} \alpha_m \cdots \alpha_{m+s-1} \\
&\times \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+s}} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+s+s_1-1}} \times \cdots \\
&\times \alpha_{m_k+s_{k-1}} \cdots \alpha_{m_k+s_{k-1}} q_{i+s_k, m_k+s_k} \cdots p_{m_2+s_1, m_1+s_1} p_{m_1, m+s} p_{mj}.
\end{aligned}$$

and if denotes $m = \bar{m}_1, m_1 - s = \bar{m}_2, \cdots, m_k - s = \bar{m}_{k+1}, s = \bar{s}_1, s_1 + s = \bar{s}_2, \cdots, s_k + s = \bar{s}_{k+1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
[\gamma_P^{k+1}(Q)]_{ij} &= \sum_{j < \bar{m}_1 < \cdots < \bar{m}_{k+1} < i} \sum_{0 < \bar{s}_1 < \cdots < \bar{s}_{k+1} < \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+\bar{s}_1-1}} \alpha_{\bar{m}_1} \cdots \alpha_{\bar{m}_1+\bar{s}_1-1} \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+\bar{s}_1}} \cdots \\
&\cdots \alpha_{\bar{m}_k+\bar{s}_k-1} \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+\bar{s}_k}} \cdots \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+\bar{s}_k+1-1}} \alpha_{\bar{m}_{k+1}+\bar{s}_k} \cdots \alpha_{\bar{m}_{k+1}+\bar{s}_k+1-1} \\
&\cdot q_{i+\bar{s}_{k+1}, \bar{m}_{k+1}+\bar{s}_{k+1}} p_{\bar{m}_{k+1}+\bar{s}_k, \bar{m}_k+\bar{s}_k} \cdots p_{\bar{m}_2+\bar{s}_1, \bar{m}_1+\bar{s}_1} p_{\bar{m}_1, j}.
\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \cdot \alpha_{m_1} \right| \leq 1, \quad \left| \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+s_1-1}} \cdot \alpha_{m_1+s_1-1} \right| \leq 1, \cdots, \\
& \cdots, \left| \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+s_{k-1}}} \cdot \alpha_{m_k+s_{k-1}} \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{since } i \geq m_1, \cdots, i+s_k-1 \geq m_k+s_k-1
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
|[\gamma_P^n(Q)]| &\leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_n \leq i < \infty} \sum_{0 \leq s_1 \leq \cdots \leq s_n \leq \infty} \\
&\times |q_{i+s_n, m_n+s_n} p_{m_n+s_{n-1}, m_{n-1}+s_{n-1}} \cdots p_{m_2+s_1, m_1+s_1} p_{m_1, j}| \\
&\leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_n < \infty} \sum_{0 \leq s_1 \leq \cdots \leq s_{n-1} < \infty} \\
&\times \left[\sum_{i=m_n}^{\infty} \sum_{s_n=s_{n-1}} |q_{i+s_n, m_n+s_n}| \right] |p_{m_n+s_{n-1}, m_{n-1}+s_{n-1}} \cdots p_{m_2+s_1, m_1+s_1} p_{m_1, j}| \\
&\leq |Q| \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_n < \infty} \sum_{0 \leq s_1 \leq \cdots \leq s_{n-1} < \infty} |p_{m_n+s_{n-1}, m_{n-1}+s_{n-1}} \cdots p_{m_2+s_1, m_1+s_1} p_{m_1, j}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since P is strictly lower-triangular the nonzero terms of the above sum are products of the form

$$(3) \quad |p_{u_n v_n} \cdots p_{u_2 v_2} p_{u_1 v_1}|,$$

with $u_n > v_n \geq \cdots \geq u_2 > v_2 \geq u_1 > v_1$ which contains any given entry p_{mn} of P at most once and each product (3) occurs at most once in the above sum, since the indices j, m_1, \dots, m_n and s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} are determined recursively by u_1, \dots, u_n and v_1, \dots, v_n ; $j=v_1, m_1=u_1, m_1+s_1=v_2, m_2+s_1=u_2$, etc. The lemma follows from the fact that each product (3) occurs exactly $n!$ times when the product $|P|^n = (\sum |p_{ij}|)^n$ is expanded.

In a similar way as in [2] we define an indefinite integral $\Gamma(P)$ of integrable operators P which will be used to find an invertible operator X such that $S = X(S+P)X^{-1}$.

Let

$$\Gamma(P) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_1^{*k+1} P S^k,$$

where $P \in \mathcal{L}_0$.

- Proposition 2.1.** a) Γ maps \mathcal{L}_0 in \mathcal{A}_0 and for $P \in \mathcal{L}_0$, $\|\Gamma(P)\| \leq \frac{|P|}{|\alpha_0|}$.
 b) $\Delta\Gamma(P) = P$.
 c) the equation

$$X = I + \Gamma(XP),$$

is uniquely solvable for $X \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and the solution is given by the Peano series

$$X = I + \Gamma(P) + \Gamma[\Gamma(P)P] + \Gamma[\Gamma[\Gamma(P)P]P] + \cdots,$$

which converges absolutely in \mathcal{A}_0 .

- d) $\Delta X = XP$.

Proof. a) It is easy to see that

$$\Gamma(P) = S_1^* \gamma(P),$$

and since for $P \in \mathcal{L}_0$, $\gamma(P)$ is a strictly lower-triangular matrix and $\|\gamma(P)\| \leq |P|$ we obtain that

$$\|\Gamma(P)\| \leq \frac{|P|}{|\alpha_0|},$$

where $\frac{1}{|\alpha_0|} = \|S_1^*\|$.

- b) Since $SS_1^* = E$ where $E = \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 1, \dots)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\Gamma(P) &= S\Gamma(P) - \Gamma(P)S = SS_1^* \gamma(P) - S_1^* \gamma(P)S = SS_1^* \gamma(P) - [\gamma(P) - P] \\ &= \gamma(P) - \gamma(P) + P = P. \end{aligned}$$

c) If we define the operator $\Gamma_P: \mathcal{L}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0$ by $\Gamma_P(Q) = \Gamma(Q)P$, then $\Gamma_P^n(Q) = S_1^* \Gamma_P^n(Q)$ since $\Gamma(Q) = S_1^* \gamma(Q) = \gamma(S_1^* Q)$ and by Lemma 2.1 and a) we have

$$\|\Gamma(\Gamma_P^n(Q))\| \leq \frac{|\Gamma_P^n(Q)|}{|\alpha_0|} \leq \frac{|P|^n |Q|}{|\alpha_0|^{n+1} n!}.$$

Now assuming the existence of a solution $X \in \mathcal{A}_0$ we have by successive substitutions

$$X = I + \Gamma(P) + \Gamma(\Gamma(P)P) + \dots + \Gamma(\Gamma_P^n(Q)) + \Gamma(\Gamma_P^{n+1}(XP)),$$

and the above reason for $Q = XP$ gives the uniqueness of the solution. The absolute convergence of the Peano series follows taking $Q = P$ in the same inequality. It is clear that the series satisfies the integral equation and applying Δ to both sides it follows $\Delta X = XP$.

In a similar way as in [2] we define the product integral

$$\hat{\Gamma}(I+P) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (I + S_1^{*k+1} P S^k),$$

for $P \in \mathcal{L}_0$ (where $\prod_{k=0}^n A_k = A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1 A_0$ for $A_k \in \mathcal{A}$). For the same reason as in [2] we conclude that for $P \in \mathcal{L}_0$ the infinite products $\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (I + S_1^{*k+1} P S^k)$ converges in \mathcal{A}_0 and that the integral equation $X = I + \Gamma(XP)$ is solved by $\hat{\Gamma}(I+P)$ (the product $\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k$ is said to be convergent if there exists an n_0 such that A_k is nonsingular ($A_k^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$) for $k \geq n_0$ and $\prod_{k=n_0}^n A_k$ converges to a nonsingular element of \mathcal{A} as $n \rightarrow \infty$).

The main result of this note is the following.

Theorem. If $P = (p_{ij})$ is a strictly lower-triangular matrix with $|P| = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} |p_{ij}| < \infty$ and $p_{i+1,i} \neq -\alpha_i$, then $S+P$ and S represents similar operators on $l^p(0, \infty)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) and the product integral $\hat{\Gamma}(I+P)$ represents an operator which implements the similarity.

Proof. Since the similarity of $S+P$ with S is equivalent to the solvability of $\Delta X = XP$ by an invertible operator X and we proved that for $P \in \mathcal{L}_0$ the matrix equation $\Delta X = XP$ is solved by $X = \hat{\Gamma}(I+P) \in \mathcal{A}_0$. For to prove that $\hat{\Gamma}(I+P)$ is an invertible operator on $l^p(0, \infty)$ we remarks that since the infinite product $\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (I + S_1^{*k+1} P S^k)$ is convergent, then it can be factored

$$\hat{\Gamma}(I+P) = \prod_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} (I + S_1^{*k+1} P S^k) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n_0} (I + S_1^{*k+1} P S^k) \right),$$

where $\prod_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} (I + S_1^{*k+1} P S^k)$ is invertible and his inverse is in \mathcal{A}_0 . Since by the

Riesz theorem these matrices all represents bounded operators on $l^p(0, \infty)$ it remains to show that the operator $\prod_{k=0}^{n_0} (I + S_1^{*k+1} P S^k)$ is invertible on $l^p(0, \infty)$. This assertion follows from the fact that -1 is not an eigenvalue of $S_1^{*k+1} P S^k$ and these operators are completely continuous for which the Fredholm alternative implies that -1 is a regular point.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beurling, *On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space*, Acta. Math., 81 (1949), 239-255.
- [2] J. M. Freeman, *Perturbations of the shift operator*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 114 (1965), 251-260.
- [3] K. O. Friedrichs, *Über die Spektralzerlegung eines Integral-operators*, Math. Ann., 115 (1938), 249-272.
- [4] K. O. Friedrichs, *Spectral theory of operators in Hilbert space*, Courant Institute of Math. Sciences, New York 1960.
- [5] N. K. Nikolskii, *Invariant subspaces of certain completely continuous operators*, Vestnik Leningrad Univ., 7 1965, 68-77.
- [6] J. G. Stampfli, *Which weighted shifts are subnormal*, Pacific J. Math., 17 (1966), 367-379.

Politechnic Institute Timisoara
Roumania