

Singularities of tangent pedal curves in S^3

Takashi Nishimura

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 57R45, 58C25, 53A40, 53A04.

Key words: singularity, tangent pedal curve, pedal point.

1 Introduction

Let S^3 be the unit 3-dimensional sphere in \mathbf{R}^4 and I be an interval. For a 3-dimensional spherical unit speed curve $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow S^3$ and a given point $P \in S^3 - \{\alpha\mathbf{n}(s) + \beta\mathbf{b}(s) \mid s \in I, \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1\}$ where $\mathbf{n}(s)$, $\mathbf{b}(s)$ are the principal normal vector and the binormal vector of $\mathbf{r}(s)$ respectively, we can define two kinds of pedal curves naturally. One is the curve obtained by mapping $s \in I$ to the nearest point from P in the tangent great circle to \mathbf{r} at $\mathbf{r}(s)$ and another is the curve obtained by mapping $s \in I$ to the nearest point from P in the osculating great sphere to \mathbf{r} at $\mathbf{r}(s)$. We call the former (resp. latter) the *tangent pedal curve* (resp. *osculating pedal curve*) relative to the *pedal point* P for a 3-dimensional spherical unit speed curve \mathbf{r} and denote it $Pe_{\mathbf{r},P}$ (resp. $Pe_{\mathbf{r},t,P}$).

In this paper, we characterize and classify singularities of tangent pedal curves in S^3 completely. Before stating our results, we introduce several notations. A *3-dimensional spherical unit speed curve* is a C^∞ map $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow S^3$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{ds}(s) \right\| = 1, \quad \frac{d^2\mathbf{r}}{ds^2}(s) + \mathbf{r}(s) \neq \mathbf{0} \quad (\text{for any } s \in I).$$

The above two conditions for a 3-dimensional spherical unit speed curve \mathbf{r} is not an essential restriction, since by using Thom transversality theorem (for instance, see [4]), for any C^∞ immersion $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow S^3$ we can obtain a sufficiently near C^∞ map $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$ in $C^\infty(I, S^3)$ with Whitney C^∞ topology such that

$$\frac{d^2\tilde{\mathbf{r}}}{ds^2}(s), \frac{d\tilde{\mathbf{r}}}{ds}(s) \text{ and } \tilde{\mathbf{r}}(s) \text{ are linearly independent (for any } s \in I);$$

and the so-called *arc length* parameter gives us a C^∞ diffeomorphism $h : I \rightarrow I$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{d(\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \circ h^{-1})}{ds}(s) \right\| = 1, \quad \frac{d^2(\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \circ h^{-1})}{ds^2}(s) + \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \circ h^{-1}(s) \neq \mathbf{0} \quad (\text{for any } s \in I).$$

For a 3-dimensional spherical unit speed curve \mathbf{r} , we put

$$\mathbf{t}(s) = \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{ds}(s), \quad \mathbf{n}(s) = \frac{\frac{d\mathbf{t}}{ds}(s) + \mathbf{r}(s)}{\left\| \frac{d\mathbf{t}}{ds}(s) + \mathbf{r}(s) \right\|}.$$

These are called the *tangent vector* and the *principal normal vector* respectively. We see easily that the vector $\mathbf{t}(s)$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{r}(s)$ and the vector $\mathbf{n}(s)$ is perpendicular to both of $\mathbf{r}(s)$, $\mathbf{t}(s)$ (see §2). Let $\mathbf{b}(s)$ be the unique unit vector which is perpendicular to all of $\mathbf{r}(s)$, $\mathbf{t}(s)$, $\mathbf{n}(s)$ and such that $\det(\mathbf{r}(s), \mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}(s), \mathbf{b}(s)) = 1$. The vector $\mathbf{b}(s)$ is called the *binormal vector*. The map $\mathbf{b} : I \rightarrow S^3$, which is called the *dual* of \mathbf{r} , seems to be relatively well understood (for instance, see [1], [3], [7]). Furthermore, the singular surface

$$\{\alpha \mathbf{n}(s) + \beta \mathbf{b}(s) \mid s \in I, \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1\},$$

which is called the *dual surface* of \mathbf{r} , seems to be started to study recently ([6]). We let $C_{\mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}(s)}$ be the great circle (1-dimensional sphere) of S^3 whose elements are perpendicular to both of $\mathbf{t}(s)$ and $\mathbf{n}(s)$.

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1 *Let $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow S^3$ be a 3-dimensional spherical unit speed curve. Let P be a point of $S^3 - \{\alpha \mathbf{n}(s) + \beta \mathbf{b}(s) \mid s \in I, \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1\}$. Then the following hold.*

1. *If $P \in S^3 - C_{\mathbf{t}(s_0), \mathbf{n}(s_0)} - \{\alpha \mathbf{n}(s) + \beta \mathbf{b}(s) \mid s \in I, \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1\}$, then the map-germ $Pe_{\mathbf{r}, P} : (I, s_0) \rightarrow S^3$ is C^∞ right-left equivalent to the map-germ given by $s \mapsto (s, 0, 0)$.*
2. *If $P \in C_{\mathbf{t}(s_0), \mathbf{n}(s_0)} - \{\pm \mathbf{b}(s_0)\}$, then the map-germ $Pe_{\mathbf{r}, P} : (I, s_0) \rightarrow S^3$ is C^∞ right-left equivalent to the map-germ given by $s \mapsto (s^2, s^3, 0)$.*

Here, two map-germs $f, g : (\mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ are said to be C^∞ right-left equivalent if there exist germs of C^∞ diffeomorphisms $h_1 : (\mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, 0)$ and $h_2 : (\mathbf{R}^3, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ such that the identity $g = h_2 \circ f \circ h_1^{-1}$ satisfies.

By theorem 1, we see that singularities of the tangent pedal curve for a 3-dimensional spherical unit speed curve \mathbf{r} are strongly restricted and no

influences of the geodesic torsion of \mathbf{r} occur (for the definition of the geodesic torsion, see §2).

The Serret-Frenet type formula for a 3-dimensional spherical unit speed curve, an explicit formula for $Pe_{\mathbf{r},P}$ and a lemma for the proof of theorem 3 are given in §2. In §3, theorem 1 will be proved.

The author wishes to thank S. Izumiya for sending [5] and Itoh's master thesis (see [6]).

Plans of this paper have been elaborated while the author was staying at Rennes in 2005. He would like to show his sincere gratitude to K. Bekka and department of mathematics at Rennes for their hospitality.

2 Serret-Frenet type formula and an application of it

For two 4-dimensional vectors $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$, let $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ be the standard scalar product.

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + x_4y_4.$$

For any C^∞ map $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, $f' : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ means the first derivative of f .

Since $\mathbf{r}(s) \cdot \mathbf{r}(s) = 1$, we see that $\mathbf{r}(s) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) = 0$. Thus, $\mathbf{t}(s)$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{r}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{r}(s) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) = 0$, we see that $\mathbf{r}(s) \cdot \mathbf{t}'(s) + 1 = 0$. Thus, $\mathbf{n}(s)$, which is the normalized vector of $\mathbf{t}'(s) + \mathbf{r}(s)$, is perpendicular to $\mathbf{r}(s)$. Furthermore, since $\mathbf{t}(s) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) = 1$, we have that $\mathbf{t}(s) \cdot \mathbf{t}'(s) = 0$. Thus, $\mathbf{t}(s) \cdot (\mathbf{t}'(s) + \mathbf{r}(s)) = 0$, which implies that $\mathbf{n}(s)$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{t}(s)$.

By the above argument, we see that $\{\mathbf{r}(s), \mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}(s), \mathbf{b}(s)\}$ is an orthogonal moving frame, which is called *Saban frame* of \mathbf{r} .

Next, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_g(s) &= \|\mathbf{t}'(s) + \mathbf{r}(s)\|, \\ \tau_g(s) &= \frac{1}{\kappa_g(s)^2} \det(\mathbf{r}(s), \mathbf{r}'(s), \mathbf{r}''(s), \mathbf{r}'''(s)). \end{aligned}$$

These are called *geodesic curvature*, *geodesic torsion* of \mathbf{r} at s respectively. Then, we have the following Serret-Frenet type formula.

Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}'(s) \\ \mathbf{t}'(s) \\ \mathbf{n}'(s) \\ \mathbf{b}'(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \kappa_g(s) & 0 \\ 0 & -\kappa_g(s) & 0 & \tau_g(s) \\ 0 & 0 & -\tau_g(s) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}(s) \\ \mathbf{t}(s) \\ \mathbf{n}(s) \\ \mathbf{b}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By lemma 2.1 we see that the dual \mathbf{b} is non-singular at s if and only if $\tau(s) \neq 0$.

Proof of lemma 2.1 We put

$$\mathbf{n}'(s) = a_1 \mathbf{r}(s) + b_1 \mathbf{t}(s) + c_1 \mathbf{n}(s) + d_1 \mathbf{b}(s).$$

and we show that $a_1 = 0$, $b_1 = -\kappa_g(s)$, $c_1 = 0$, $d_1 = \tau_g(s)$.

Since $\mathbf{r}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}(s) = 0$, we have that $\mathbf{r}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}'(s) = 0$. Thus, $a_1 = 0$. Since $\mathbf{n}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}(s) = 1$, we have that $\mathbf{n}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}'(s) = 0$. Thus, $c_1 = 0$. Since $\mathbf{t}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}(s) = 0$, we have that $\mathbf{t}'(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}(s) + \mathbf{t}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}'(s) = 0$. Thus, $\kappa_g(s) + b_1 = 0$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_g(s) &= \frac{1}{\kappa_g(s)^2} \det(\mathbf{r}(s), \mathbf{r}'(s), \mathbf{r}''(s), \mathbf{r}'''(s)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa_g(s)^2} \det(\mathbf{r}(s), \mathbf{t}(s), \kappa_g(s) \mathbf{n}(s) - \mathbf{r}(s), \kappa_g'(s) \mathbf{n}(s) + \kappa_g \mathbf{n}'(s) - \mathbf{t}(s)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa_g(s)^2} \det(\mathbf{r}(s), \mathbf{t}(s), \kappa_g(s) \mathbf{n}(s), \kappa_g(s) \mathbf{n}'(s)) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{r}(s), \mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}(s), d_1 \mathbf{b}(s)) \\ &= d_1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we put

$$\mathbf{b}'(s) = a_2 \mathbf{r}(s) + b_2 \mathbf{t}(s) + c_2 \mathbf{n}(s) + d_2 \mathbf{b}(s).$$

and we show that $a_2 = 0$, $b_2 = 0$, $c_2 = -\tau_g(s)$, $d_2 = 0$.

Since $\mathbf{r}(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}(s) = 0$, we have that $\mathbf{r}(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}'(s) = 0$. Thus, $a_2 = 0$. Since $\mathbf{b}(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}(s) = 1$, we have that $\mathbf{b}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}'(s) = 0$. Thus, $d_2 = 0$. Since $\mathbf{t}(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}(s) = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathbf{t}'(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}(s) + \mathbf{t}(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}'(s) \\ &= \mathbf{t}(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}'(s) = b_2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $\mathbf{n}(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}(s) = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathbf{n}'(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}(s) + \mathbf{n}(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}'(s) \\ &= \tau_g(s) + c_2. \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d

Lemma 2.2

$$Pe_{\mathbf{r},P}(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s))^2 + (P \cdot \mathbf{t}(s))^2}} ((P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s)) \mathbf{r}(s) + (P \cdot \mathbf{t}(s)) \mathbf{t}(s))$$

Proof of lemma 2.2 For any $s \in I$, by subtracting $(P \cdot \mathbf{n}(s))\mathbf{n}(s) + (P \cdot \mathbf{b}(s))\mathbf{b}(s)$ from P we obtain the vector

$$P - (P \cdot \mathbf{n}(s))\mathbf{n}(s) - (P \cdot \mathbf{b}(s))\mathbf{b}(s) = (P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s))\mathbf{r}(s) + (P \cdot \mathbf{t}(s))\mathbf{t}(s)$$

in \mathbf{R}^4 which is positive scalar multiple of $Pe_{\mathbf{r},P}(s)$. Normalizing this vector gives the right hand side of the formula in lemma 2.2, which must be the vector $Pe_{\mathbf{r},P}(s)$. q.e.d

By this formula, we can characterize singularities of the tangent pedal curve relative to P as follows.

Lemma 2.3

$$Pe'_{\mathbf{r},P}(s) = 0 \iff P \in C_{\mathbf{t}(s),\mathbf{n}(s)}.$$

Proof of lemma 2.3 By using lemma 2.1, we have

$$((P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s))^2 + (P \cdot \mathbf{t}(s))^2)' = 2\kappa_g(s)(P \cdot \mathbf{t}(s))(P \cdot \mathbf{n}(s))$$

and

$$((P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s))\mathbf{r}(s) + (P \cdot \mathbf{t}(s))\mathbf{t}(s))' = \kappa_g(s)(P \cdot \mathbf{n}(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + \kappa_g(s)(P \cdot \mathbf{t}(s))\mathbf{n}(s).$$

Thus, simple calculations show

$$Pe'_{\mathbf{r},P}(s) = \frac{\kappa_g(s)}{((P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s))^2 + (P \cdot \mathbf{t}(s))^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\xi_{\mathbf{r}}(s)\mathbf{r}(s) + \xi_{\mathbf{t}}(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \xi_{\mathbf{n}}(s)\mathbf{n}(s) \right),$$

where $\xi_{\mathbf{r}}(s) = -(P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s))(P \cdot \mathbf{t}(s))(P \cdot \mathbf{n}(s))$, $\xi_{\mathbf{t}}(s) = (P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s))^2(P \cdot \mathbf{n}(s))$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{n}}(s) = ((P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s))^2 + (P \cdot \mathbf{t}(s))^2)(P \cdot \mathbf{t}(s))$. Since $P \in S^3 - \{\alpha\mathbf{n}(s) + \beta\mathbf{b}(s) \mid s \in I, \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1\}$, we see that $(P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s))^2 + (P \cdot \mathbf{t}(s))^2 \neq 0$. Thus, by the above calculations we see that $Pe'_{\mathbf{r},P}(s) = 0$ if and only if $P \in C_{\mathbf{t}(s),\mathbf{n}(s)}$. q.e.d

Let s_0 be an element of I . We put

$$\varphi(s) = (P \cdot \mathbf{t}(s + s_0), P \cdot \mathbf{n}(s + s_0)),$$

for any $s \in I$ such that $s + s_0 \in I$. Let $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ be the set of all C^∞ function-germs $(\mathbf{R}, s_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, (\mathbf{R}^2, \varphi(s_0)) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ respectively. We furthermore let m_2 be the subset of \mathcal{E}_2 consisting of all function-germs with zero constant terms. Then, $\varphi^*m_2\mathcal{E}_1$ is an \mathcal{E}_1 -submodule of \mathcal{E}_1 and we would like to consider the following quotient \mathcal{E}_1 module:

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{\varphi^*m_2\mathcal{E}_1}.$$

Lemma 2.4 For $Pe_{\mathbf{r},P}(s + s_0)$, the following hold.

1. $Pe'_{\mathbf{r},P}(s + s_0) \cdot \mathbf{r}(s + s_0) = 0.$
2. $Pe'_{\mathbf{r},P}(s + s_0) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s + s_0) \in \varphi^*m_2\mathcal{E}_1.$
3. $Pe'_{\mathbf{r},P}(s + s_0) \cdot \mathbf{n}(s + s_0) \in \varphi^*m_2\mathcal{E}_1$
4. $Pe'_{\mathbf{r},P}(s + s_0) \cdot \mathbf{b}(s + s_0) \in \varphi^*m_2\mathcal{E}_1$
5. $Pe''_{\mathbf{r},P}(s + s_0) \cdot \mathbf{r}(s + s_0) \in \varphi^*m_2\mathcal{E}_1$
6. $Pe''_{\mathbf{r},P}(s + s_0) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s + s_0) + \varphi^*m_2\mathcal{E}_1 = \tau_g(s + s_0)(P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s + s_0))^2(P \cdot \mathbf{b}(s + s_0)) + \varphi^*m_2\mathcal{E}_1$
7. $Pe''_{\mathbf{r},P}(s + s_0) \cdot \mathbf{n}(s + s_0) + \varphi^*m_2\mathcal{E}_1 = -P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s + s_0)^3 + \varphi^*m_2\mathcal{E}_1$
8. $Pe''_{\mathbf{r},P}(s + s_0) \cdot \mathbf{b}(s + s_0) \in \varphi^*m_2\mathcal{E}_1$
9. $Pe'''_{\mathbf{r},P}(s + s_0) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s + s_0) + \varphi^*m_2\mathcal{E}_1 = \kappa_g(s + s_0)(P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s + s_0))^3 + \varphi^*m_2\mathcal{E}_1$

Proof of lemma 2.4 Since $\{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b}\}$ is an orthogonal moving frame, we see that the proof of lemma 2.3 shows that 1-4 of lemma 2.4 hold. Further calculations by using lemma 2.1 show that 5-9 of lemma 2.4 hold. q.e.d

3 Proof of theorem 1

[*Proof of 1*] By lemma 2.3, $Pe'_{\mathbf{r},P}(s_0) \neq 0$ in this case. Thus, the map-germ $Pe_{\mathbf{r},P}(s_0)$ is non-singular and by the rank theorem ([2]) the result holds. q.e.d

[*Proof of 2*] By a suitable rotation of S^3 we may assume that $\mathbf{r}(s_0) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{t}(s_0) = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{n}(s_0) = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ and $\mathbf{b}(s_0) = (0, 0, 0, 1)$.

Then, by lemma 2.4 we may put

$$Pe_{\mathbf{r},P}(s + s_0) = \begin{pmatrix} a + \alpha_3(s) \\ \frac{1}{2}\tau_g(s + s_0)a^2bs^2 + \frac{1}{3!}\kappa_g(s + s_0)a^3s^3 + \alpha_4(s) \\ -\frac{1}{2}a^3s^2 + \beta_3(s) \\ \gamma_3(s) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = (P \cdot \mathbf{r}(s_0))$, $b = (P \cdot \mathbf{b}(s_0))$ and $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i : (\mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, 0)$ are certain C^∞ function-germs which satisfy $\frac{d^k \alpha_i}{ds^k}(0) = \frac{d^k \beta_i}{ds^k}(0) = \frac{d^k \gamma_i}{ds^k}(0) = 0$ for $k \leq i - 1$. Note that $a \neq 0$ in the case of 2 of theorem 1.

Let \mathcal{E}_1 be the set of all C^∞ function germs with one variable $(\mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, m_1 be its subset consisting of all function-germs with zero constant terms. Then, $m_1^3 \mathcal{E}_1$ is a finitely generated \mathcal{E}_1 -module. We put $f(s) = s^2$ and apply the Malgrange preparation theorem (for instance, see [2], [4], [8]) to $m_1^3 \mathcal{E}_1$ and f . Then we see that for any function-germ $g \in m_1^3 \mathcal{E}_1$ there exists a certain C^∞ function-germ ψ such that

$$g(s) = \psi(s^2, s^3).$$

Thus, for the map-germ $Pe_{\mathbf{r},P} : (I, s_0) \rightarrow S^3$ there exists a germ of C^∞ diffeomorphism $h_t : (S^3, Pe_{\mathbf{r},P}(s_0)) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ such that

$$h_t \circ Pe_{\mathbf{r},P}(s + s_0) = (s^2, s^3, 0).$$

q.e.d

References

- [1] V. I. Arnold, The geometry of spherical curves and the algebra of quaternions. *Russian Math. Surveys*. 50(1995), 1-68.
- [2] TH. Bröcker and L. C. Lander, *Differentiable germs and catastrophes*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 17 (Cambridge University Press, 1975).
- [3] J. W. Bruce and P. J. Giblin, *Curves and Singularities (second edition)*. (Cambridge University Press, 1992).
- [4] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, *Stable Mappings and Their Singularities*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics no. 14 (Springer-Verlag, 1974).
- [5] S. Izumiya, Hand-written note on spherical regular curves, 2000.

- [6] S. Izumiya and H. Itoh, On dual surfaces of curves in S^3 , *in preparation*.
An extension of Itoh's master thesis written in Japanese.
- [7] I. R. Porteous, *Geometric Differentiation (second edition)*. (Cambridge University Press, 2001).
- [8] C. T. C. Wall, Finite determinacy of smooth map-germs, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **13**(1981), 481-539.

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Education and Human Sciences,
Yokohama National University,
Yokohama 240-8501, Japan
e-mail: takashi@edhs.ynu.ac.jp